

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/462,283		03/29/2000	HANS WILFRIED PETER KOOPS	2345/108	2345/108	4889	
26646	7590	10/15/2002					
KENYON & KENYON				EXAMINER			
	OADWAY ORK, NY	10004		AHMED, SHAMIM			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1765			
			DATE MAILED: 10/15/2002				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/462,283	KOOPS, HANS WILFRIED PETER						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Shamim Ahmed	1765						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	Old abandonment of this applica	ition. A proper reply	y to a					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of the contr	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFF fextension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the mailing FR 1.704(b).	pdate of the final rejection FINAL REJECTION. Control Tilde	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or otion, even if					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>30 September 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without cancelin NOTE:	g a corresponding number of fir	nally rejected claims	i.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	oe allowable if submitted in a sep	parate, timely filed a	mendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reapplication in condition for allowance because: <u>See</u>	econsideration has been consid Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT	place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becauraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims would be appeared by the proposed amendment (s).	s) a) will not be entered or b) lid be rejected is provided below	⊠ will be entered ar ⁄ or appended.	nd an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-14</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examin	er.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement								
10. Other:		·						
6. Patent and Trademark Office								

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment to the claim is not sufficient to overcome the rejection of the previous Office action mailed 4/24/02. Applicant's arguments filed 9/30/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Brenner does not teach or suggest a fabrication of active and passive polymer-based component for use in integrated optics using organometallic compounds nor does it describe filling the unexposed regions of at least one patternable resist layer with organometallic compounds where the optoelectronic component is capable of being selectively changed as a function of temperature and application time as in claim 7.

Applicant also argues that Eguchi does not cure the deficiencies of the Brenner reference. This is not persuasive because Brenner teaches a fabrication process for an optoelectroic device, wherein a polymer material is exposed by an etching mask and then the unexposed region are filled with monomer (see the fabrication process at page 161 and figure 2.1).

Examiner agrees that Brenner does not teach the monomer is organometallic compound.

However, Eguchi et al teach that organometallic compound is used as monomer for low optical losses at the connection of an optical component (see the rejection).

Examiner states that the optoelectronic component includes exposed and unexposed region. So, the component includes active and passive regions.

Examiner also states that applicant's argument is more specific than the claims because the active and passive polymer-based component is not claimed, which is preamble of the claim and that has given less patentable weight. Examiner further indicates that the modified Brenner's optical component with Eguchi and Vollenbroek would be capable of being selectively changed as a function of temperature and time because the monomeric organometallic compound would change the physical properties during a change of temperature and application time.

It is also noted that the amended portion of the claim 7 "configured to be" does not recite a positive limitation of a claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (703) 305-1929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu (7:00-5:30) Every Friday Off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech can be reached on (703) 308-3836. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Shamim Ahmed Patent ExaminerArt Unit 1765

SA October 10, 2002.

BENJAMIN L. UTECH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700